09 501179



Robert Greene Steme Edward J. Kessler Jorge A. Goldstein David K.S. Cornwell Robert W. Esmond Tracy-Gene G. Durkin Michele A. Cimbala Michael B. Ray Robert E. Sokohl Eric K. Steffe Michael Q. Lee Steven R. Ludwig John M. Covert Linda E. Alcom Robert C. Millonig Donald J. Featherstone Timothy J. Shea, Jr Michael V. Messinger Judith U. Kim Patrick E. Garrett Jeffrey T. Helvey Heidi L. Kraus Eldora L. Ellison Thomas C. Fiala Donald R. Banowit Peter A. Jackman Jeffrey S. Weaver Kendrick P. Patterson Vincent L. Capuano Brian J. Del Buono Virgil Lee Beaston Theodore A. Wood Elizabeth J. Haanes Joseph S. Ostroff Frank R. Cottingham Rae Lynn P. Guest

January 3, 2006

Jason D. Eisenberg
Jason D. Eisenberg
Michael D. Specht
Tracy L. Muller
Jon E. Wright
LuAnne M. DeSantis
Ann E. Summerfield
Helene C. Carlson
Cynthia M. Bouchez
Limothy A. Doyle
Gaby L. Longsworth
Lori A. Gordon
Nicole D. Dretar
Ted J. Ebersole
Laura A. Vogel
Bryan S. Wade
Aaron L. Schwartz
Shannon A. Carroll*

Wesley W. Jones*
Matthew E. Kelley*
Michelle K. Holoubek*
Marsha A. Rose*

Registered Patent Agents *
Karen R. Markowicz

Registered Patent Agents-Karen R. Markowicz Nancy J. Leith Matthew J. Dowd Katrina Yujian Pei Quach Bryan L. Skelton Robert A. Schwartzman Teresa A. Colella Victoria S. Rutherford Simon J. Elliott Julie A. Heider Mid Mukherjee Scott M. Woodhouse Christopher J. Walsh Liliana Di Nola-Baron Peter A. Socarras Jeffrey Mills Danielle L. Letting

Of Counsel
Kenneth C. Bass III

Marvin C. Guthrie

*Admitted only in Maryland *Admitted only in Virginia

 Practice Limited to Federal Agencies

Art Unit 1642

WRITER'S DIRECT NUMBER: (202) 772-8638 INTERNET ADDRESS: MKELLEY@SKGF.COM

Attn: Mail Stop Patent Ext.

Commissioner for Patents PO Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Re:

U.S. Issued Patent

Patent No. 6,960,449 B2; Issued: November 1, 2005

For: Class Characterization of Circulating Cancer Cells Isolated from

Body Fluids and Methods of Use

Inventors:

Wang et al.

Our Ref:

2314.0060002/JUK/MEK

Sir:

Transmitted herewith for appropriate action are the following documents:

- 1. Credit Card Payment Form (PTO-2038) in the amount of \$200.00 to cover: \$200.00 Patent Term Adjustment Fee (37 C.F.R. § 1.18(e));
- 2. Request for Reconsideration of Patent Term Adjustment Determination Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.705; and
- 3. One (1) return postcard.

It is respectfully requested that the attached postcard be stamped with the date of filing of these documents, and that it be returned to our courier. In the event that extensions of time are necessary to prevent abandonment of this patent application, then such extensions of time are hereby petitioned.

The U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is hereby authorized to charge any fee deficiency, or credit any overpayment, to our Deposit Account No. 19-0036.

Respectfully submitted,

STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX P.L.L.C.

Matthew E. Kelley

Attorney for Patentees

Registration No. 55,887

JUK/MEK/lam Enclosures

479985.1

Sterne, Kessler, Goldstein & Fox PLLC.: 1100 New York Avenue, NW: Washington, DC 20005: 202.371.2600 f 202.371.2540: www.skgf.com



In re patent of:

Wang et al.

Patent No. 6,960,449 B2

Issued: November 1, 2005

For: Class Characterization of Circulating Cancer Cells Isolated from Body Fluids and Methods of Use

Confirmation No. 3508

Art Unit: 1642

Examiner: Canella, Karen A.

Atty. Docket: 2314.0060002/JUK/MEK

Request for Reconsideration of Patent Term Adjustment Determination Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.705(d)

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 Mail Stop Patent Ext.

Sir:

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.705(d) and § 1.705(b)(1) and (b)(2), Patentees hereby provide a concise statement of facts involved, as well as payment of fee set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.18(e). This petition is being filed on January 3rd because the due date of January 1 was a Sunday and January 2nd was a federal holiday. Patentees are filing this Request under 37 C.F.R. § 705(d) to correct the revised patent term adjustment in the captioned patent because the revised patent term adjustment should have indicated that Patentees are entitled to additional patent term.

Statement of the Facts:

Patentees hereby request that the Patent Term Adjustment (PTA) for the captioned patent be corrected to allow an addition of 181 days to the current PTA of 93 days, for a total PTA of 274 days.

U.S. Appl. No. 09/501,179, which issued into the captioned patent (the '449 patent), was filed on November 1, 2001. On November 1, 2005, the U.S. Patent and

Trademark Office (PTO) issued the '449 patent indicating that the term of this patent is extended under 35 U.S.C. § 154(b) by 93 days. According to PTO's Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system, the PTA of 93 days is calculated as follows: the PTO delay of 365 days reduced by "Applicant Delay of 120 days" and "PTO Adjustment of 152 days."

1

The total Applicant Delay appears to be 91 days, not the "Applicant Delay of 120 days" and a "PTO Adjustment of 152 days." The PTO mailed a Decision Granting Letter Regarding PTA dated September 19, 2005, which noted that Applicants' delay was 91 days. Patentees are not aware of other circumstances during the prosecution of the application that constitute a failure to engage in reasonable efforts to conclude processing or examination of the application as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.704(c) other than the above mentioned delay of 91 days caused by the failure to reply to the June 2003 Office Action within three months.

The 120 days of delay currently attributed to Patentees is incorrect. Applicants filed a Letter Regarding Patent Term Adjustment Calculation on October 18, 2004, which was after the Notice of Allowance dated September 20, 2004 but before Applicants paid the issue fee on December 8, 2004. According to PAIR, the PTO entered this Letter as a Miscellaneous Incoming Letter and also entered it as a petition. Applicants sent this Letter to the PTO in accordance with Applicants' duty of good faith and candor because the PTO had granted in error a PTA in Applicants' favor. However, the current PTA calculation on PAIR indicates that the PTO counted this letter as being 120 days of Applicant delay which Patentees believe was improper.

Further, the Patentees do not understand the reason for the reduction due to "PTO Adjustment of 152 days."

Finally, the '449 patent is not subject to a terminal disclaimer.

The Precise Relief Requested:

It is respectfully requested that the PTO change the PTA in this case to 274 days or, if this number is incorrect, whatever sum the PTO calculations determine to be appropriate. This number has been calculated based on 365 days of PTO delay (failure to issue the patent within 3 years of filing) from which 91 days of Applicants' delay (failure to respond to the June 2003 Office Action) has been subtracted. The PTO also appears to have erroneously adjusted the PTA by subtracting 152 days and Applicants respectfully request consideration of this deduction as part of this petition.

Further, Applicants request a waiver of the fee under 37 C.F.R. § 1.18(e) in this case because this Request has been filed to correct PTO errors in the calculation of the PTA for the '449 patent. Specifically, time has been deducted from the PTA for the captioned patent because of the Letter Regarding Patent Term Adjustment filed October 18, 2004 by Applicants that pointed out the PTO error in calculating PTA that resulted in Applicants receiving PTA they were not entitled to at that time. As this Letter was filed in good faith pursuant to Applicants' duty of candor with the PTO, Patentees should not be charged a fee for this petition to correct further PTA errors stemming from this original letter.

However, should the requested waiver of the fee be denied, in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.705(b)(1), the fee set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.18(e) is provided in our accompanying Credit Card Payment Form PTO-2038. It is not believed that

additional fees are required beyond those that may otherwise be provided in documents accompanying this paper. However, if additional fees are required, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is hereby authorized to charge any fee deficiency, or credit any overpayment, to our Deposit Account No. 19-0036.

If it is believed, for any reason, that personal communication will expedite consideration of this Request, please do not hesitate to telephone the undersigned at the number provided.

Prompt and favorable consideration of this Request is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX P.L.L.C.

Matthew E. Kelley

Attorney for Patentees Registration No. 55,887

Date: January 3, 2005

1100 New York Avenue, N.W. Suite 800 Washington, D.C. 20005-3934

(202) 371-2600

479473_1.DOC